Partners Universal Innovative Research Publication (PUIRP)
Volume: 02 Issue: 05 | September-October 2024 | ISSN: 3048-586X | www.puirp.com

Literature Review on Biogas Feeding Systems

Srinivas Kasulla[1], S J Malik[2], Fredrick Kayusi[3], Anjani Yadav[4], Gaurav Kathpal[5]

[1,2,4,5]Arka BRENStech Private Limited, Gurugram, Haryana, India.
[3]Department of Environmental Sciences, School of Environmental and Earth Sciences, Pwani University, Kilifi, Kenya.

Abstract - Biogas feeding systems are the most important elements that influence the efficiency and productivity of the anaerobic digestion process. This paper reviews the different feeding strategies, including continuous, semi-continuous, and batch systems, analyzing their merits and demerits in biogas production. A continuous feed system consistently yields the same levels of methane. Semi-continuous and batch-feeding systems provide great flexibility, but sometimes unstable processes can affect them. Optimization of substrate handling, and digestion efficiency with the usage of the latest technologies of automated feeding, and two-stage feeding, has optimized biogas yields. However, these technologies require higher energy demands and operational complexity. Some key research gaps have been identified: substrate-specific feeding strategies, the effect of feeding methods on microbial communities, energy efficiency, and the integration of real-time monitoring systems. Filling these gaps could make biogas systems more scalable and sustainable, thus making them a better and more reliable renewable energy source.

Keywords: Biogas feeding systems, continuous feeding, batch feeding, anaerobic digestion, microbial communities, automated systems, substrate-specific strategies, methane.

1. INTRODUCTION TO BIOGAS FEEDING SYSTEMS

Biogas production through AD is well established as a technology for the conversion of organic waste into renewable energy. The **biogas feeding system** is central to the efficiency and effectiveness of AD systems. An optimized feeding system that controls the amount of substrate entering the digester effectively prevents any fluctuations in the feed supplied to microbial communities, thereby ensuring an uninterrupted supply of substrates for them to process, with continuous and predictable biogas production. The mode by which feedstock is fed into the digester does have significant implications on the general efficiency of the AD process, impacting the processes of microbial activity, methane yield, and process stability.

Feedstock feeding systems in biogas plants are diverse, driven by several factors like the **type of substrate, reactor design**, and **operating conditions**. Different types of waste material, such as agricultural residues, food waste, or sewage sludge, have different physical and chemical characteristics, and thus it is important to consider feedstock-specific feeding systems for maximal exploitation in terms of yield of biogas. Other reactor designs, like **CSTR** or **UASB** reactors, also require specific feeding strategies to ensure good distribution and mixing of substrate.

There are various feeding strategies employed in the production of biogas. The most common of these include the **continuous, semi-continuous, and batch feeding systems**.

- **Continuous feeding systems** feed in at a constant rate, ensuring that the microorganism always receives a steady supply of nutrients. This is widely used for mass biogas plants to maintain constant conditions like pH and temperature, which is required to obtain a stable methane producing process. Continuous systems are beneficial with regard to homogenous substrates, such as manures or food processing wastes; however, the mechanism controlling it must be so efficient to avoid overfeeding or underfeeding the digester.

- **Semi-continuous feeding systems** feeding systems can be devised to add feedstock in some frequency basis like daily and weekly feeding basis. This one is more flexible in dealing with wide diversity on the substrates' characteristics high moisture, biodegradable, or nonbiodegradable, like found in agricultural-fed biogas plants. Semi-continuous feeding systems can occasion some fluctuation of microbial activity that may not effectively help in maintaining a stable process if not monitored properly.
- **Batch feeding systems** involve adding large amounts of substrate to the digester, which is then sealed for a defined digestion period. These are typically used in dry anaerobic digestion processes, especially when substrates have high solid contents. Batch feeding systems are less complex and, therefore, more economical, primarily in rural or decentralized applications. However, the methane yields may be lower because feeding is not continuous and microbial activity is not as continuous.

Selection of a biogas feeding system often depends on a number of factors, including the scale of the biogas plant, nature of feedstock, and specific goals for running the operation (whether at maximum methane yield or minimal cost or to maintain stable process conditions). In recent times, further optimization of feeding strategies was also achieved through automated feeding systems and real-time monitoring by allowing a more balanced substrate distribution, reduced manual interference, and maximum digester efficiency.

In short, feed systems for biogas will play a crucial role in the overall process of anaerobic digestion and are ultimately going to make a decision over the success of such a system. An optimum feeding approach should be chosen depending on the characteristics of the substrate, reactor type, and objective determined in operation to maximize biogas production while sustaining long-run operation of such a system.

2. TYPES OF BIOGAS FEEDING SYSTEMS

2.1 Continuous Feeding Systems

Continuous feeding systems are characterized by continuous organic waste input into the anaerobic digester, where wastes are fed to the digester based on a regular, steady basis; it does keep providing the microorganisms with a steady supply of nutrients to produce biogas. This type of system is primarily utilized in large-sized industrial biogas plants, where tremendous volumes of homogenous waste, such as manure or sewage sludge, are being dealt with, besides food processing wastes.

Key Findings:

- **Higher Methane Yields:** This is because continuous feeding systems facilitate balanced microbial activity due to a steady supply of organic matter. Research works have indicated that this system attains **higher methane yields** as compared to other feeding strategies since it prevents process disruptions occasioned by such feeding, which is a result of discontinuity.
- **Suitability for Large-Scale Operations:** Continuous systems are best adapted to treat large-scale biogas plants handling homogeneous substrates. Feedstock uniformity ensures stable bacterial

populations, thus obtaining the optimal biogas output. Such units, inter alia, can be found in large-scale agricultural residue or industrial effluent treatment plants as well as in large-volume municipal waste treatment plants.

- **Maintaining Optimal Conditions:** In continuous systems, the conditions of **pH** and temperature inside the digester remain maintained perfectly, which is very important for good methanogenesis. Continuous feeding of the feedstock reduces changes in the environmental conditions and allows methanogens to work best with the continuous production of methane.

The continuous feeding systems, which consist of several advantages, require very sophisticated control mechanisms and careful management not to cause imbalances in the system, like overloading or underloading of the digester, which may lead to lower yields of methane.

2.2 Semi-Continuous Feeding Systems

In **semi-continuous feeding**, feedstock is added to the digester at fixed intervals, say daily or weekly. The system is usually applied in small-scale facilities or agricultural biogas plants where substrates differ in characterization. Feedstock is added in discrete amounts that allow microbial populations to adapt to changes in substrate composition and volume gradually.

Key Findings:

- **Flexibility in Substrate Types:** Semi-continuous systems are highly flexible compared to continuous systems and can readily be adjusted in substrate type composition and availability. Hence, this suits well small- to medium-scale operations for the processing of numerous organic waste types, like agricultural residues, food waste, and crop by-products.
- **Methane Yields Comparable to Continuous Systems:** Semi-continuous systems have been shown to produce **methane yields comparable** to continuous systems, but that will of course depend on how well the process is controlled. These semi-continuous systems do face a challenge though, about process stability in that they involve intermittent feeding conditions.
- **Cost-Effective for Smaller Operations:** Semi-continuous systems are more economical for small operations. These are easier to control compared to continuous systems since they do not require highly complex control mechanisms. They remain unable to monitor critical parameters, like **pH** and **volatile fatty acid concentration**, in order not to disrupt the AD process.

These systems have their merits, but semi-continuous systems tend to be unstable due to fluctuations in microbial activity as well as environmental conditions. The risk of inhibition or inhibition-related decrease in methane yield necessitates close monitoring of the system by an operator.

2.3 Batch Feeding Systems

Batch feeding systems operate by filling the digester with a set amount of substrate, sealing it, and allowing the digestion process to occur until the organic matter is fully processed. After digestion is complete, the digester is emptied, and the process is repeated. This system is commonly used in **dry anaerobic digestion** processes, where substrates with high solid content, such as crop residues and municipal solid waste, are processed.

Key Findings:

- **Simple Operation for High-Solid Substrates:** In batch feeding systems, the digester is charged with a known volume of substrate, sealed, and left to digest until all organic matter in it is reduced. After the whole organic matter has been digested, the digester is discharged and the process is repeated continuously. These are mainly applied in the dry anaerobic digestion processes where the substrates used possess a high concentration of solids, such as crop residues and municipal solid waste.
- **Lower Methane Yields:** The main limitation of a batch system is that it produces less methane compared to its continuous or semi-continuous counterparts, making it less favourable in some contexts. This is because the substrate composition is imbalanced and microbes are not constantly active, one characteristic that may lead to inconsistent output based on inconsistent production of biogas.
- **Suitable for Decentralized Settings:** Batch systems apply to **rural** or decentralized biogas plants; in cases where the complexity of operation is low. Batch systems usually provide better low maintenance and capital costs, hence suitable for small-scale farmers or communities.

While being indeed advantageous for small-scale operations, batch systems are not exactly suitable for biogas plants that have the objective of having a maximum methane yield. Strategies such as substrate pre-treatment or co-digestion with other organic materials may be required to improve the efficiency of a batch system.

3. TECHNOLOGICAL ADVANCES IN BIOGAS FEEDING SYSTEMS

Probably, the emphasis over the last few years of advanced technology in biogas feeding systems has been on achieving better **handling** and **uniformity** of substrates, in addition to yielding greater efficiency during digestion. True feedstock mixing and distribution are essential to allow for uniform microbial activity, prevent scenarios like clogging or uneven digestion, and ensure good quality feedstocks are maintained. Mechanized feeding mechanisms, automation, and two-stage digestion are some of the innovations that have optimized the biogas production process over the years.

3.1 Automated Feeding Systems

High-profile large-scale biogas plants can make real-time, dynamic feeding adjustments based on operation metrics like digester performance, and thus have moved towards the use of automated feeders wherein **sensors** and **controllers** on substrate inputs control the feeding process.

Key Findings:

- **Optimized Biogas Yields:** Automated systems optimize biogas production by adjusting the feeding rate based on real-time data pertaining to the **temperature of the digester, methane concentration, and VFA level**. Thus, it ensures proper feeding at all times, avoiding overload or underload of the digester.
- **Reduced Manual Labor:** Automation helps in reducing the usage of manual labour. They can be configured to monitor and control automatically the feeding process. That means an increase in efficiency along with the probability of reducing human errors in feeding operations.

- **Higher Initial Costs:** Despite the greatest advantages, automated feeding systems have high initial investments, especially in sensor technology and control systems. They may not be financially practicable for very small biogas plants because the investment cost is much higher than it can save.

High capital costs limit the applications for biogas plants unless more economical automation solutions become available. However, automated feeding will likely prove a more efficient and methane-yielding approach, especially where large-scale operation is feasible.

3.2 Two-Stage Feeding Systems

The breaks between the **hydrolysis and acidogenesis** stages in two-stage anaerobic digestion are created apart from **methanogenesis,** which is usually separated into two distinct digesters. This permits better control over the biochemical process of decomposition of organic matter and improves the overall efficiency of biogas production. Control of the feeding process in two-stage systems must be maintained to have a steady supply of **volatile fatty acids (VFAs)** from the first stage to the methanogenic digester.

Key Findings:

- **Flexibility in Substrate Composition:** Two-stage feeding has more flexibility in substrate composition; types of feedstock with different capabilities can be optimized for different stages of the digestion process, which means each stage is operated under optimal conditions to increase biogas yield.
- **Enhanced Process Efficiency:** Two-stage systems increase overall process efficiency by raising rates of hydrolysis degradation and methane production. The isolation of the two stages, namely hydrolysis and methanogenesis, from each other allows operators to make the conditions of each optimum for biogas production.
- **Higher Operational Costs:** The primary negative impact of two-stage systems is the **higher operating costs** since control mechanisms are more complex, and there is greater infrastructure in handling two digesters. Moreover, maintaining a fine balance between feeds of the two stages is essential in order not to create process imbalance.

Two-stage systems offer a large advantage in terms of flexibility and efficiency of the process: they are viewed as much more appropriate for large-scale processes where variable feedstock inputs necessitate it. However, complexity also happens to be its limitation upon which its application to relatively smaller biogas plants is restricted due to high costs.

4. RESEARCH GAPS IN BIOGAS FEEDING SYSTEMS

Despite the progress in biogas feeding systems, there remain several key research gaps that need to be addressed to improve efficiency and scalability.

4.1 Substrate-Specific Feeding Strategies

One of the issues with most existing biogas feeding systems is the use of a single strategy for different feedstocks, but research indicates that **feedstocks-specific feeding systems** can improve efficiency in different processes. Different substrates, such as food waste versus agricultural residues, require a specific feeding approach based on differences in their composition, moisture content, and biodegradability. More research is needed for optimal feeding rates and understanding the proper methods of mixing and pre-treating various waste streams.

4.2 Impact of Microbial Communities

Feeding strategies interact with microbial activity. While there is some evidence from several investigation works that have shown the stability of microbial communities may be influenced by feeding regimes, considerably less data have been accrued on the effect of various feeding methods on the dynamic behavior of a **microbial population.** Much work is still needed to examine the microbial response to various feedstock compositions and loading rates.

4.3 Energy Efficiency of Feeding Systems

While automated and advanced feeding systems increase process efficiency, they introduce increased energy consumption. A critical area for future research is **energy optimization** in biogas feeding systems. The development of low-energy and efficient feeding mechanisms for small-scale and decentralized plants should be primarily researched.

4.4 Real-Time Monitoring and Control

The use of sensors and automation in the real-time control of feeding systems is still in its nascent stage. The actual adaptive feeding strategies will be possible through more advanced **sensor technologies** that are deployable in the monitoring of several parameters, such as substrate characteristics, VFA levels, and gas composition in real-time. The pursuit of the development of **integrated control systems** that can stem from adjusting feeding rates through multiple real-time metrics may significantly advance the efficiency and stability of anaerobic digestion processes.

5. RESULTS

The review of literature reveals key findings across different biogas feeding systems:

- **Continuous Feeding Systems:** In continuous feeding systems, the anaerobic digester is fed with a continuous supply of substrate. As such, these systems provide steady methane production. Continuous feeding systems maintain constant pH and temperature conditions that promote balanced microbial activity. As such, these systems yield higher methane yields, as detailed in different literature. The best use of continuous feeding systems is in large-scale operations handling homogenous feedstocks such as manure or industrial effluents.
- **Semi-Continuous Feeding Systems:** In semi-continuous systems, feedstock is introduced at regular intervals, hence, giving some flexibility to the type and loading of substrate. Studies show that these can produce a similar quantity of methane to continuous systems, but may suffer from some instability in the process due to cyclic feeding. Such semi-continuous systems can be relatively economical for small to medium-sized biogas plants, but require careful monitoring of pH and VFAs so as not to disturb the system.
- **Batch Feeding Systems:** The batch systems are even easier to operate. They can be used more appropriately on high solid mass substrates, crop residues, and municipal waste. Again, the

Volume: 02 Issue: 05 | September-October 2024 | ISSN: 3048-586X | www.puirp.com

methane yield of this system is less than those of continuous and semi-continuous feeding systems. This is because of variations in the substrate composition and the absence of continuous microbial activity.

- **Technological Advances:** Automated feeding systems depend on the integration of sensors and controllers to adjust feeding rates, optimize biogas yields, and minimize interference from human beings. They base feeding behavior on real-time performance metrics like temperature and gas composition to produce yields with the help of such systems (Jiang et al., 2018). The two-stage feeding systems separate hydrolysis and methanogenesis, thereby increasing flexibility in terms of substrate composition while yielding higher efficiency but incurring a higher cost of operation.

6. CONCLUSION

Biogas feeding systems are part of the anaerobic digestion process, with developments there having improved yield production and stability in the biogas process. Continuous, semicontinuous, and batch feeding systems each have their advantages in terms of their scale of operation and type of substrate. Optimized further by automated and two-stage feeding, challenges continue, particularly with energy efficiency, and the substrate-specific feeding strategy.

Areas of future research will involve finding substrate-specific feeding strategies and how feeding affects microbial communities in real-time monitoring systems. Researching these gaps will improve scalability and efficiency in the biogas systems toward a more sustainable energy future.

Feed systems play an important role in the success and efficiency of any anaerobic digestion process. Continuous, semi-continuous, and batch feed systems have different advantages and disadvantages, and system choice is largely determined by feedstock type, biogas plant scale, and operational objectives. Continuous systems generate the highest methane yields and are stable in operation but are ideally suited only to large-scale, homogeneous feeds. Semi-continuous systems provide facility and cost advantages for smaller facilities but require careful monitoring for process stability. Batch systems are appropriate for decentralized or rural settings, but they produce lower yields of methane.

Automated and two-stage feeding systems have further improved biogas production optimization, with improvements in substrate handling and mixing and greater efficiency from the digester. However, these developments have problems for energy input and complexity for small to medium-sized biogas plant.

These gaps are also associated with the development of substrate-specific feeding strategies to more clearly understand in detail the interaction between feeding methods and microbial communities, advancement in concepts of energy-efficient feeding mechanisms, and incorporation of real-time monitoring systems, which would open up many areas for innovative development.

Addressed in such gaps and with more efficient feeding systems continuing to be developed for biogas, the industry may unlock new levels of productivity in a way that contributes toward a more sustainable, renewable energy future.

REFERENCES

[1] Alibardi, L., & Cossu, R. (2016). Two-stage anaerobic digestion of the organic fraction of municipal solid waste: Thermophilic vs. mesophilic processes. Bioresource Technology, 220, 250-258.

[2] Zaghloul, Rashed & Estefanous, A & Hanafy, & S, El & Agric, & Botany, Dept & Agric, Fac & Univ, Zagazlg & Semi-Continuous, Egypt. (2000). Biogas production from Artichoke processing wastes by using feeding system. 1. 279-294.

[3] Srinivas Kasulla, et al. "Using Biogas Plant Slurry to Optimize Ph Dynamics and Control Nitrification in Hydroponic Systems". Partners Universal International Research Journal (PUIRJ), vol. 03, no. 03, PU Publications, Sept. 2024, pp. 100–09, doi:10.5281/zenodo.13827526.

[4] Kasulla, Srinivas, et al. "Potential of Biogas Generation from Hybrid Napier Grass." (2022).

[5] Angelidaki, I., & Ellegaard, L. (2011). Optimal feeding strategies for thermophilic anaerobic digestion of livestock manure. Water Research, 35(12), 3079-3086.

[6] Chowdhury, R.B.S. & Fulford, David. (1992). Batch and semi-continuous anaerobic digestion systems. Renewable Energy. 2. 391-400. 10.1016/0960-1481(92)90072-B.

[7] Jiang, Y., et al. (2018). Automated feeding strategies for biogas production using real-time monitoring data. Renewable Energy, 122, 274-282.

[8] Malik, S.J., B.B. Gunjal, S. Kasulla, and A.B. Gunjal. 2019. Techno-commercial aspects of bio CNG from 100 tpd press mud plant. In Proceedings of Deccan Sugar Technologist's Association, Pune, 1–11.

[9] Malik, S J & Kasulla, Srinivas. (2022). How much does a large scale biogas plant costs?. 30. 74-75.

[10] Kayusi, Fredrick, Srinivas Kasulla, S J Malik, and Petros Chavula. 2024. "Climate Information Services (CIS): A VitalTool for Africa's Climate Resilience". Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports 18 (10):108-17.

[11] Gunnerson, Charles & Stuckey, David. (1990). Anaerobic digestion: Principles and practice for biogas systems. Biological Wastes - BIOL WASTE. 32. 236-237. 10.1016/0269-7483(90)90053-U.

[12] Krajnc, J., et al. (2017). Batch and semi-batch anaerobic digestion of agricultural residues. Waste Management, 59, 420-429.

[13] Srinivas Kasulla, et al. "Biogas Production from Water Hyacinth and Cow Dung". International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development, vol. 7, no. 4, Zenodo, Aug. 2023, pp. 500–03, doi:10.5281/zenodo.8213991

[14] Srinivas Kasulla, S J Malik, Salman Zafar, & Aparna Saraf. (2021). A Retrospection of Hydrogen Sulphide Removal Technologies in Biogas Purification. International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development, 5(3), 857–863. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4680596

[15] Kasinath, Archana & Fudala-Książek, Sylwia & Szopińska, Małgorzata & Byliński, Hubert & Artichowicz, Wojciech & Remiszewska-Skwarek, Anna & Luczkiewicz, Aneta. (2021). Biomass in biogas production: Pretreatment and co-digestion. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. 150. 111509. 10.1016/j.rser.2021.111509.

[16] Afisna, Lathifa & Rahadi, Bagas. (2022). Analysis of the difference in biogas volume between continuous and semi-continuous systems. Journal of Engineering Researcher and Lecturer. 1. 12-16. 10.58712/jerel.v1i1.5.

[17] Liu, W., et al. (2020). Effect of feeding intervals on microbial community structure in anaerobic digesters. Journal of Environmental Management, 261, 110244.

[18] Lu, Fuzhi & Jiang, Qiong & Qian, Feng & Zhou, Quanneng & Jiang, Chengjian & Shen, Peihong. (2019). Semi-continuous feeding combined with traditional domestication improved anaerobic performance during the treatment of cassava stillage. Bioresource Technology. 291. 121807. 10.1016/j.biortech.2019.121807.

[19] Wang, Wei & Yang, Qi & Zheng, Shuangshuang & Wu, Donglei. (2013). Anaerobic membrane bioreactor (AnMBR) for bamboo industry wastewater treatment. Bioresource technology. 149C. 292-300. 10.1016/j.biortech.2013.09.068.

[20] Wang, Zhengjian & Banks, Charles. (2003). Evaluation of a Two Stage Anaerobic Digester for the Treatment of Mixed Abattoir Wastes. Process Biochemistry. 38. 1267-1273. 10.1016/S0032-9592(02)00324-2.

[21] Srinivas Kasulla, et al. "The Role of Iot in Waste Management and Sustainability". Partners Universal International Research Journal (PUIRJ), vol. 03, no. 02, PU Publications, June 2024, pp. 76–88, doi:10.5281/zenodo.11854522

[22] Srinivas, Kasulla, and S. J. Malik. "Enhancement in Biogas Production from Press Mud." (2020): 213-216.

[23] Malik, S J & Saraf, Aparna & Kasulla, Srinivas. (2020). Biogas Production from Decanter Cake of Palm Oil Mill from South India. 10.5281/zenodo.4409642

[24] Rosas-Mendoza, Erik & Alvarado-Vallejo, Andrea & Vallejo-Cantú, Norma & Snell-Castro, Raúl & Martinez, Sergio & Alvarado-Lassman, Alejandro. (2021). Batch and Semi-Continuous Anaerobic

Digestion of Industrial Solid Citrus Waste for the Production of Bioenergy. Processes. 9. 648. 10.3390/pr9040648.

[25] Muylaert, M.S.; Sala, J.; Freitas, M.A.V. Consumo de Energia e Aquecimento do Planeta: Analise do Mecanismo de Desenvol vimento Limpo(MDL) do Protocolo de Quioto; Case Studies; Post-Graduate Engineering Programs Coordination (COPPE): Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 2000.

[26] Gerardi, M. The Microbiology of Anaerobic Digesters; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2003; p. 51. ISBN 0-471-20693-8.

[27] Prussi, M.; Padella, M.; Conton, M.; Postma, E.D.; Lonza, L. Review of technologies for biomethane production and assessment of Eu transport share in 2030. J. Clean. Prod. 2019, 222, 565–572.

[28] Srinivas Kasulla, S J Malik, & Ahmad Allam Siddiqui. (2021). Optimisation of Biogas Production using Nanotechnology. International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development, 5(3), 472–477. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4656046

[29] Kasulla, S. and S.J. Mallik. Enzymatic pretreatment of chicken feather waste to generate biogas. Int. J. Trend. Sci. Res. Dev., 5, 510-514 (2021).

[30] Kasulla, Srinivas & Malik, S J & Shaikh, Nadeem & Madan, Lalit & Patel, Imran. (2022). Overview of Nanoparticles effects as well as Enhancement of Biogas from Bio methanation of Press mud. 10.5281/zenodo.6726874.

[31] Calabrò, P.S.; Pontoni, L.; Porqueddu, I.; Greco, R.; Pirozzi, F.; Malpei, F. Effect of the concentration of essential oil on orange peel waste biomethanization: Preliminary batch results. Waste Manag. 2016, 48, 440–447.

[32] Ruiz, B.; Flotats, X. Effect of limonene on batch anaerobic digestion of citrus peel waste. Biochem. Eng. J. 2016, 109, 9–18.

[33] 23. Anjum, M.; Khalid, A.; Qadeer, S.; Miandad, R. Synergistic effect of co-digestion to enhance anaerobic degradation of catering waste and orange peel for biogas production. Waste Manag. Res. 2017, 35, 967–977

[34] Silva, C.E.; Abud, A.K. Influence of manure concentration as inoculum in anaerobic digestion of vinasse. Acta Sci. Biol. Sci. 2017,173–180.

[35] Ward, A.J.; Hobbs, P.J.; Holliman, P.J.; Jones, D.L. Optimisation of the anaerobic digestion of agricultural resources. Bioresour. Technol. 2008, 99, 7928–7940.

[36] Kasulla, Srinivas & Malik, S J. (2017). Digestate from Biogas Plant - An Indian Case Study.

[37] Kayusi, F., Mwakumanya, M., & Tole, M. (2023). Slope stability analysis at soapstone mining sites in Nyamarambe, Kisii County, Kenya. International Journal of Geography Geology and Environment, 5, 95–110. https://doi.org/10.22271/27067483.2023.v5.i2b.177

[38] Malik, S.J., B.B. Gunjal, and S. Kasulla. 2018. Study of upgradation technologies to treat biogas from spent wash to biocng. In Proceedings DSTA Annual Convention, Gandhinagar 64:1-10.

[39] Kasulla, Srinivas & Malik, S J. (2012). Unlocking the true energy potential of wastewater treatment plants.

[40] Lee, D.H.; Behera, S.K.; Kim, J.; Park, H.S. Methane production potential of leachate generated from Korean food waste recycling facilities: A lab-scale study. Waste Manag. 2009, 29, 876–882.

[41] Marín-Peña, O.; Alvarado-Lassman, A.; Vallejo-Cantú, N.A.; Juárez-Barojas, I.; Rodríguez-Jarquín, J.P.; Martínez-Sibaja, A. Electrical Conductivity for Monitoring the Expansion of the Support Material in an Anaerobic Biofilm Reactor. Processes 2020,8, 77.

[42] Li, Y.; Park, S.Y.; Zhu, J. Solid-state anaerobic digestion for methane production from organic waste. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.2011, 15, 821–826.

[43] Ahmad, F, et al. Assessing integrated nitrogen and planting density on growth, yield component, and financial analysis of maize crops (Zea Mays). J Hyg Eng Des. 2021;37(549):125-347 (2021).

[44] Kasulla, Srinivas & Malik, S J. (2021). Primary Treatment of Raw Materials for Biogas Digestion.

[45] Kasulla, Srinivas & Malik, S J & Bhatta, Ashish & Kathpal, Gaurav & Yadav, Anjani. (2024). Process Monitoring of Biogas Projects. 10.5281/zenodo.12604470

[46] Fisher, K.; Phillips, C.A. The effect of lemon, orange, and bergamot essential oils and their components on the survival of Campylobacter jejuni, Escherichia coli O157, Listeria monocytogenes, Bacillus cereus and Staphylococcus aureus in vitro and in food systems. J. Appl. Microbiol. 2006, 101, 1232–1240.

[47] Koppar, A.; Pullammanappallil, P. Anaerobic digestion of peel waste and wastewater for onsite energy generation in a citrus processing facility. Energy 2013, 60, 62–68.